RECEIVED & INSPECTED

OCT 11 2006

FCC - MAILROOM

Jasmine Boss P.O. Box 938 Anna Maria, FL 34216

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW. Washington DC 20554.

RE: My comments on Media Ownership Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Docket 06-121

Dear FCC,

As an American citizen and taxpayer, I am outraged at former FCC Chairman Michael Powell's efforts to bury two separate studies that found media consolidation to be harmful to local news reporting. No decision should be made on ownership until a full and independent investigation of this cover-up is completed.

The FCC can't simply hide data from the public and push through new rules that unleash further consolidation of local news outlets. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

The FCC should allow no relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership without first weighing all of the evidence and hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of consolidation.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy. I will join millions of Americans in closely following your actions regarding this vital matter. I hope and expect you will honor your responsibility to the American people by stopping any further media consolidation.

Jasmine Boss

No. 61 Coppes racid

List A B O D E

ေးသည်**လည်လျှ**ရှိသည်။ ကြို့**ယာ**င်

t this by t

not be and and and animal defend to earthmeetible of the

 \mathbf{y}^{T} is the proof of the second of th

er aller State

· 1115 ·

Leah M. Yankee OCT 1 1 2006 15545 Harrison Ave. Allen Park, MI 48101-2019 1 1 2006

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW. Washington DC 20554.

RE: My comments on Media Ownership Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Docket 06-121

Dear FCC,

As an American citizen and taxpayer, I am outraged at former FCC Chairman Michael Powell's efforts to bury two separate studies that found media consolidation to be harmful to local news reporting. No decision should be made on ownership until a full and independent investigation of this cover-up is completed.

The FCC can't simply hide data from the public and push through new rules that unleash further consolidation of local news outlets. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

The FCC should allow no relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership without first weighing all of the evidence and hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of consolidation.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy. I will join millions of Americans in closely following your actions regarding this vital matter. I hope and expect you will honor your responsibility to the American people by stopping any further media consolidation.

Most sincerely.

Leah M. Yankee

ListA B C D F

Terri Middleton P.O. Box 545 Montrose, CO 81402

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

OCT 11 2006

FGC - MAILROOM

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW. Washington DC 20554.

RE: My comments on Media Ownership Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Docket 06-121

Dear FCC.

As an American citizen and taxpayer, I am outraged at former FCC Chairman Michael Powell's efforts to bury two separate studies that found media consolidation to be harmful to local news reporting. No decision should be made on ownership until a full and independent investigation of this cover-up is completed.

The FCC can't simply hide data from the public and push through new rules that unleash further consolidation of local news outlets. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

The FCC should allow no relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership without first weighing all of the evidence and hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of consolidation.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy. I will join millions of Americans in closely following your actions regarding this vital matter. I hope and expect you will honor your responsibility to the American people by stopping any further media consolidation.

Most sincerely,

Terri Middleton

No. of Gables rectd 0 List A B C D E

Dr. Santokh Singh Khalsa Suraj Kaur Khalsa 3952 Chapman Court Altadena, CA 91001-3872 ived &

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW. Washington DC 20554.

OCT 112006

RE: Our comments on Media Ownership Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Docket 06-121

Dear FCC.

As American citizens and taxpavers we are outraged at former FCC Chairman Michael Powell's efforts to bury two separate studies that found media consolidation to be harmful to local news reporting. No decision should be made on ownership until a full and independent investigation of this cover-up is completed.

The FCC can't simply hide data from the public and push through new rules that unleash further consolidation of local news outlets. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

The FCC should allow no relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership without first weighing all of the evidence and hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of consolidation.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy. We will join millions of Americans in closely following your actions regarding this vital matter. We hope and expect you will honor your responsibility to the American people by stopping any further media consolidation.

Most sincere

No. of Copies rec'd__

LISTABODE

Shanti Shanti Kaur Khalsa, PhD P.O. Box 1925 Espanola, NM 87532

Received	0 (22	ings:	r‡ed
----------	----------	-------	------

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW. Washington DC 20554.

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

OCT 112006

FOCT 1 Cook

the control workship segon a come and to the control of the contro RE: My comments on Media Ownership Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Docket 06-121 the state of the parties of the public and pure to the parties of the parties of

Dear FCC.

As an American citizen and taxpayer, I am outraged at former FCC Chairman Michael Powell's efforts to bury two separate studies that found media consolidation to be harmful to local news reporting. No decision should be made on ownership until a full and independent investigation of this cover-up is completed.

The FCC can't simply hide data from the public and push through new rules that unleash further consolidation of local news outlets. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

The FCC should allow no relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership without first weighing all of the evidence and hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of consolidation.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy. I will join millions of Americans in closely following your actions regarding this vital matter. I hope and expect you will honor your responsibility to the American people by stopping any further media consolidation.

Most sincerely,

Shanti Shanti Kaur Khalsa, PhD

List A B C D E

Violet Oaklander 3761 Greggory Way #5 Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Received & Innumina

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW. Washington DC 20554.

OCT 112006

FCO Wall Room,

RE: My comments on Media Ownership Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Docket 06-121

Dear FCC,

As an American citizen and taxpayer, I am outraged at former FCC Chairman Michael Powell's efforts to bury two separate studies that found media consolidation to be harmful to local news reporting. No decision should be made on ownership until a full and independent investigation of this cover-up is completed.

The FCC can't simply hide data from the public and push through new rules that unleash further consolidation of local news outlets. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

The FCC should allow no relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership without first weighing all of the evidence and hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of consolidation.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas — a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy. I will join millions of Americans in closely following your actions regarding this vital matter. I hope and expect you will honor your responsibility to the American people by stopping any further media consolidation.

Most sincerely,

Osole Sablande

Violet Oaklander

No. of Copies recid<u>0</u>
List A B C D E

Shawn Burroughs
3739 Lima North Drive Recorded & Inspection Indianapolis, IN 46227-8184
UCT 1 1 2006

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW. Washington DC 20554.

RE: My comments on Media Ownership Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Docket 06-121

Dear FCC,

As an American citizen and taxpayer, I am outraged at former FCC Chairman Michael Powell's efforts to bury two separate studies that found media consolidation to be harmful to local news reporting. No decision should be made on ownership until a full and independent investigation of this cover-up is completed.

The FCC can't simply hide data from the public and push through new rules that unleash further consolidation of local news outlets. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

The FCC should allow no relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership without first weighing all of the evidence and hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of consolidation.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy. I will join millions of Americans in closely following your actions regarding this vital matter. I hope and expect you will honor your responsibility to the American people by stopping any further media consolidation.

Most sincerely,

Shawn Burroughs

No. of Caples reold O

List A B C D E

Barbara Hardwick 222 Calle De Arboles Redondo Beach, CA 90277-6604

OCT 1 1 2006

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW. Washington DC 20554.

RE: My comments on Media Ownership Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Docket 06-121

Dear FCC.

As an American citizen and taxpayer, I am outraged at former FCC Chairman Michael Powell's efforts to bury two separate studies that found media consolidation to be harmful to local news reporting. No decision should be made on ownership until a full and independent investigation of this cover-up is completed.

The FCC can't simply hide data from the public and push through new rules that unleash further consolidation of local news outlets. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

The FCC should allow no relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership without first weighing all of the evidence and hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of consolidation.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy. I will join millions of Americans in closely following your actions regarding this vital matter. I hope and expect you will honor your responsibility to the American people by stopping any further media consolidation.

the state of the second control of the second secon

The second of th

Most sincerely

Rarhara Hardwick

Wo. of Capies recid_ List A B C D E

Carlos Contreras PO Box 5052 Elgin, IL 60121 Rendavoul & . 1 . 4

OCT 112006

FOC Asst Amon

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW. Washington DC 20554.

RE: My comments on Media Ownership Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Docket 06-121

Dear FCC,

As an American citizen and taxpayer, I am outraged at former FCC Chairman Michael Powell's efforts to bury two separate studies that found media consolidation to be harmful to local news reporting. No decision should be made on ownership until a full and independent investigation of this cover-up is completed.

The FCC can't simply hide data from the public and push through new rules that unleash further consolidation of local news outlets. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

The FCC should allow no relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership without first weighing all of the evidence and hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of consolidation.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy. I will join millions of Americans in closely following your actions regarding this vital matter. I hope and expect you will honor your responsibility to the American people by stopping any further media consolidation.

Carlos Contreras

No. of Copies rectd POLISE A B C D E

Waltressa Molsberry John A. McCracken P.O. Box 547 Nederland, CO 80466

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

OCT 1 1 2006

FGC - MAILROOM

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW. Washington DC 20554.

RE: Our comments on Media Ownership Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Docket 06-121

Dear FCC.

As American citizens and taxpayers we are outraged at former FCC Chairman Michael Powell's efforts to bury two separate studies that found media consolidation to be harmful to local news reporting. No decision should be made on ownership until a full and independent investigation of this cover-up is completed.

The FCC can't simply hide data from the public and push through new rules that unleash further consolidation of local news outlets. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

The FCC should allow no relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership without first weighing all of the evidence and hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of consolidation.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy. We will join millions of Americans in closely following your actions regarding this vital matter. We hope and expect you will honor your responsibility to the American people by stopping any further media consolidation.

Most sincerely,
Waltressa Malshury
Waltressa Molsberry

John A. McCracken

No. of Capties recid
List A B C D E

Barb Decker RECEIVED & INSPECTED

1155 Elm Grove St.
Elm Grove, WI 5312QCT 1 1 2006

FCC - MAILROOM

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW. Washington DC 20554.

RE: My comments on Media Ownership Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Docket 06-121

Dear FCC,

As an American citizen and taxpayer, I am outraged at former FCC Chairman Michael Powell's efforts to bury two separate studies that found media consolidation to be harmful to local news reporting. No decision should be made on ownership until a full and independent investigation of this cover-up is completed.

The FCC can't simply hide data from the public and push through new rules that unleash further consolidation of local news outlets. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

The FCC should allow no relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership without first weighing all of the evidence and hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of consolidation.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas — a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy. I will join millions of Americans in closely following your actions regarding this vital matter. I hope and expect you will honor your responsibility to the American people by stopping any further media consolidation.

Most sincerely,

Barb Decker

No. of Copies racid O
List A B C D E

Bill Denneen 1040 Cielo Ln. Nipomo, CA 93444-9039

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

OCT 1 1 2006

FGC LROOM

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW. Washington DC 20554.

RE: My comments on Media Ownership Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Docket 06-121

Dear FCC,

As an American citizen and taxpayer, I am outraged at former FCC Chairman Michael Powell's efforts to bury two separate studies that found media consolidation to be harmful to local news reporting. No decision should be made on ownership until a full and independent investigation of this cover-up is completed.

The FCC can't simply hide data from the public and push through new rules that unleash further consolidation of local news outlets. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

The FCC should allow no relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership without first weighing all of the evidence and hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of consolidation.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy. I will join millions of Americans in closely following your actions regarding this vital matter. I hope and expect you will honor your responsibility to the American people by stopping any further media consolidation.

Most sincerely,

Bill Denneen

No. of Copies ree'd_ List ABCDE OCT 11 2006
FCC - MAILROOM

Don Strachan P.O. Box 1066 Middletown, CA 95461

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW. Washington DC 20554.

RE: My comments on Media Ownership Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Docket 06-121

Dear FCC,

As an American citizen and taxpayer, I am outraged at former FCC Chairman Michael Powell's efforts to bury two separate studies that found media consolidation to be harmful to local news reporting. No decision should be made on ownership until a full and independent investigation of this cover-up is completed.

The FCC can't simply hide data from the public and push through new rules that unleash further consolidation of local news outlets. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

The FCC should allow no relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership without first weighing all of the evidence and hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of consolidation.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas — a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy. I will join millions of Americans in closely following your actions regarding this vital matter. I hope and expect you will honor your responsibility to the American people by stopping any further media consolidation.

Most sincerely

Don Strachen

List ABCDE